

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**
7

8 TODD STICKNEY,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 HOWARD SKOLNIK, *et al.*,

12 Defendants.
13

Case No. 2:11-CV-00409-KJD-RJJ

ORDER

14 Before the Court is the Motion to Dismiss (#8) filed by Defendants Catherine Masto Cortez,
15 James G. Cox, James G. Gibbons, Officer Mellinger, Ross Miller, Cole Morrow, Dwight W. Neven,
16 Howard Skolnik (collectively “Defendants”). Plaintiff has not filed an opposition.

17 Local Rule 7-2(d) provides that failure to oppose a motion “constitute[s] a consent to granting
18 of the motion.” Plaintiff’s opposition was due October 29, 2011. The Court has examined the
19 motion and finds that it has merit. Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** the Motion to
20 Dismiss (#8) is **GRANTED**.

21 DATED this 12th day of December 2011.
22
23

24 

25 Kent J. Dawson
26 United States District Judge